

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Lund et al

Serial No.

09/982,616

Examiner

Belyavskyi, Michail A.

Filed

October 17, 2001

Group Art Unit:

1644

For

CD38 MODULATED CHEMOTAXIS

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202

March 4, 2004
Date of Deposit

Carmella L. Stephens
Attorney Name
PTO Registration No

March 4, 2004

March 4, 2004

Signature March 4, 2004

Date of Signature

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, DC 20231

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated September 10, 2003, please consider the following remarks. The Examiner has stated that the claims of the present application encompass *twenty-five* different inventions.

In particular, the Examiner has indicated that the claims of group VIII which are drawn to a method for identifying a compound that activates CD38 enzyme activity, wherein CD38 mediated cell migration is measured, and the claims of group XIII which are drawn to a method for identifying a compound that inhibits CD38 enzyme activity, wherein CD38 mediated cell migration is measured, are different methods. Specifically, the Examiner alleges that "these invention differ with respect to ingredients, method steps and endpoints; therefore, each method is patently distinct."

Applicants traverse this restriction requirement on the grounds that the claimed methods of group VIII and XIII of identifying modulators of CD38 activity through measuring of cell migration, are conceptually linked, and would not require separate searches. Further, the method claims of group VIII and XIII simply do not differ with respect to ingredients or method steps. In fact the claims are exactly identical with respect to ingredients and method steps (see claims 17, 18 and 19). The only difference between the claims of group VIII and XIII is that one can deduce whether an inhibitor or activator of CD38 has been identified based on whether cell migration is inhibited or activated. This last step of the method relies on the same physical activity, *i.e.*, measuring cell migration. Accordingly, Applicants request that the restriction requirement be reconsidered.

However, to be fully responsive to the instant restriction requirement, Applicants elect to pursue the claims of Group XIII (claims 18, 19 and 24) in this application without

NY02:478321.1 -2-

prejudice to the prosecution of the subject matter of non-elected claims in other patent applications.

Lisa B.Kole

Lisa B.Kole

PTO Registration No. 35,225

Carmella L. Stephens PTO Registration No. 41,328

Attorneys for Applicant

BAKER BOTTS, L.L.P. 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112 (212) 408-2539